

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.
(Not for Public Disclosure)

DEFENDANT: Jamie Marie Sigmon

CASE NUMBER: 5:19-cr-6 KDB-DSC-1

DISTRICT: Western District of North Carolina

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (*Prior to Any Departures*)

Previous Total Offense Level: _____ Amended Total Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____ Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (*See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018)*)

Under the amendment to U.S.S.G. §4C1.1 in Amendment 821, the Defendant does not qualify to receive a two-level reduction in her offense level because she does not satisfy §4C1.1(a)(7) because she possessed a firearm. (Doc. No. 22, ¶¶ 10, 20).